

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,649	12/30/2003	Clayton Charles Troxell	18,951	6902	
23556 7	12/15/2006		EXAMINER		
KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC.			HALPERN, MARK		
401 NORTH L NEENAH, WI	AKE STREET I 54956	ART UNIT ·	PAPER NUMBER		
			1731		
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/748,649	TROXELL ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•		Mark Halpern	1731					
_	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	:				
Pe	eriod for Reply							
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR e, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
St	tatus							
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 C	October 2006.						
•	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under I	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Di	isposition of Claims	•						
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17,22 and 23</u> is/are pending in the	application.	•					
	· ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3-17,22,23</u> is/are rejected.								
							7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Αŗ	pplication Papers							
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		•				
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).				
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-15	2.				
Pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			* •				
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in A	pplication No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau			•				
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.					
•	•							
	tachment(s)							
ı) [2) [☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
3) [Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	nformal Patent Application					

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

1) Acknowledgement is made of Amendment received 10/20/2006. Claim 1 is amended, and new claims 22-23 are offered for consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2) Claims 1, 3-17, 22-23, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hermans (6,887,348).

The applied reference has two (2) common inventors, Troxell, and Baum, with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Hermans discloses a single web product made of pulp fibers produced by conventional wet lay paper making process. The product is a tissue. A softening agent chemical is applied to either or both sides of the tissue. After drying, the tissue is rolled onto reel 24 (col. 11, line 28 to col. 12, line 60). The tissue roll bulk is in the range of about 11.5 cc/g to greater than about 14 cc/g (col. 17, lines 24-34). The tissue fuzzon-edge, obtained by shear calendering, is in the range of greater than about 1.7 mm/mm to greater than 3.5 mm/mm (col. 17, lines 14-24). The chemical additive is polysiloxane (col. 12, lines 40-50). The product Kershaw firmness is in the range of less than 7.8 mm to less than 7.0 mm (col. 17, lines 3-11). The product Kawabata bending stiffness is disclosed in tables of Examples 1, 3 (cols.19-20).

In the event any differences can be shown for the product of the product-by-process claim 1, 3-17, 22-23, as opposed to the product taught by the reference Hermans, such differences would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as a routine modification of the product in the absence of a showing of unexpected results; see also *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Application/Control Number: 10/748,649 Page 4

Art Unit: 1731

Response to Amendment

3) Claims 1, 3-17, rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hermans (6,887,348), is withdrawn in view of amended claim.

4) Applicant's arguments with respect to pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone number is 571-272-1190. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731